

APR 06 2016

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

**PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT
AMONG
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, MOAB FIELD OFFICE,
UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE, MANTI-LA SAL NATIONAL FOREST,
UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE,
STATE OF UTAH SCHOOL AND INSTITUTIONAL TRUST LANDS
ADMINISTRATION
UTAH DIVISION OF OIL GAS & MINING,
AND ENERGY FUELS RESOURCES (USA) INC.
REGARDING
A PLAN OF OPERATIONS AMENDMENT TO THE LA SAL MINES
COMPLEX, MINE IN SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH**

M/037/0026
Mike
Jessica
Montcalm
7309

WHEREAS, the Bureau of Land Management, BLM-Moab Field Office has received a proposed Plan of Operations Amendment (hereafter the "Project") from Energy Fuels Resources (USA) Inc. (hereafter "Energy Fuels") for the La Sal Mines Complex, San Juan County, Utah (Project) on lands administered by the Moab Field Office (BLM), the Manti-La Sal National Forest (USFS), State of Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA), and private surface and subsurface minerals overseen by the Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining (UDOGM); and

WHEREAS, the La Sal Mines Complex is a group of four existing underground uranium mines: the La Sal Mine, the Pandora Mine, the Beaver Shaft Mine, and the Snowball Mine. The Project includes the construction of exploration drill sites, mine ventilation shafts, access roads, and other surface facilities; and

WHEREAS, the BLM-Moab Field Office is a federal land management agency responsible for receiving and processing applications for locatable minerals pursuant to 43 CFR 3809, Leasing on Application, and for establishing multiple uses of federal lands in providing for present and future generations as authorized by the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) of 1976 (43 USC 1701 et seq.);

WHEREAS, the BLM-Moab Field Office is the lead agency and is a signatory to this agreement; and

WHEREAS, the USFS, is the lead agency responsible for authorizing the development of mineral resources by Energy Fuels on USFS-administered lands, pursuant to 36 CFR 228 as well as the protection of cultural resources as authorized by the Federal Lands Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) of 1976 (43 USC 1701) and is a Signatory to this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the USFS is a signatory to this agreement; and

APR 8 2018

Div. of Oil, Gas & Mining

WHEREAS, the Area of Potential Effect (APE) includes lands administered by the SITLA, an agency in the State of Utah that has a responsibility to comply with Utah Code Annotated §9-8-404 on lands owned or controlled by the SITLA within the project (APE). The SITLA intends to employ this Agreement to address the applicable requirements for actions resulting from this Agreement involving SITLA, BLM, and USFS-administered lands. The SITLA, however, does not waive its independent statutory jurisdiction to make final decisions concerning its lands, and is not bound in its leasing or other approval authority by actions taken, or determinations made, concerning Federal lands, and has therefore been consulted and invited to be an Invited Signatory to this Agreement pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(3); and

WHEREAS, the BLM has consulted with the Utah State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. §470f) and 36CFR §800.14(1); and has invited them to participate as a Signatory and they have accepted; and

WHEREAS, the UDOGM is the lead agency responsible for authorizing development of mineral resources by Energy Fuels on Private and State Lands; and

WHEREAS, the UDOGM having permitting authority for the Project for the State of Utah, has been invited to sign this Agreement as an Invited Signatory pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(3); and

WHEREAS, UDOGM, as an agency in the State of Utah that has a responsibility to comply with Utah Code Annotated §9-8-404, intends to employ this Agreement to address the applicable requirements for actions resulting from this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the UDOGM is an invited signatory to this agreement pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(4); and

WHEREAS, Energy Fuels has participated in consultation and has been invited to be a an invited signatory to this Agreement pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(4); and

WHEREAS, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) has elected to not participate in the consultation process for this Project; and

WHEREAS, the BLM has determined that acceptance of a Plan of Operations is an undertaking as defined in 36CFR800.16(y); and

WHEREAS, the Signatories have agreed to develop a Programmatic Agreement (here after "Agreement") for this complex project, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.14(b) because the effect on historic properties cannot be fully determined prior to approval, and because the

phased approach adopted by this agreement is a departure from the normal 106 consultation process detailed in 36 CFR 800.1 et seq. ; and

WHEREAS, the BLM, in consultation with the Signatories and Consulting Parties has defined the APE for the Project based on direct, indirect, and cumulative effects to historic properties and their associated settings as applicable, as defined at 36 CFR 800.16(d), to include the areas identified in Appendix A; and

WHEREAS, BLM-Moab Field Office and USFS are responsible for government-to-government consultation with federally recognized Indian Tribes for this project and are the lead Federal agencies for all Native American consultation and coordination, and shall continue to consult regarding the potential effects of the Project on historic properties pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(c)(2); and

WHEREAS, the BLM has invited: the Southern Ute Tribe, the Hopi Tribe, The Navajo Nation, The Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, The Zuni Tribe, The Northern Ute Tribe, The Ute Mountain Ute Tribe, White Mesa Ute Tribe, and Jemez Tribe to be Concurring Parties to this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the following tribal governments participated in the development of this agreement [To be determined]; and the following tribal governments are concurring parties to this agreement. [To be determined]; and

WHEREAS, BLM has invited San Juan County as a local government to be a concurring party under 36CFR800.2.(c)(3) and

WHEREAS, BLM has invited the following organizations and individuals to be Concurring Parties: Old Spanish Trail Association, BLM Old Spanish National Historic Trail Administrator, and the National Park Service National Trails Intermountain Region

WHEREAS, the following organizations have participated in the development of this agreement: SHPO, USFS, SHPO, Energy Fuels[To be included].... The following organizations and individuals are concurring parties to this agreement [To be included]...; and

WHEREAS, Unless otherwise noted, the terms used in this agreement, including “adverse effect,” “area of potential effect,” “historic property,” and “National Register,” are intended to be consistent with the definitions found in federal regulations related to the Protection of Historic Properties at 36 CFR 800.16, regulations for Determinations of Eligibility for Inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places at 36 CFR Part 63, the BLM’s Identifying and Evaluating Cultural Resources Manual (BLM Manual Section-8110), BLM-Utah’s Guidelines for Identifying Historic Properties Manual and Handbook (BLM-Utah Manual 8110 and Handbook 8110).;

NOW, THEREFORE, all of the Signatories and Concurring parties agree that the Project shall be administered in accordance with the following stipulations:

STIPULATIONS

The BLM, USFS, SITLA and UDOGM will ensure that the following stipulations are carried out.

1. Energy Fuels will fund all cultural resource work required under this Agreement, including: literature reviews, and Class III-pedestrian surveys (Class III surveys), analysis, monitoring, reporting, historic property treatment plan preparation, curation, and mitigation
2. Energy Fuels will separate proposals for ground-disturbing activities by surface management owner. As a result, the BLM, USFS, and SITLA will be the lead agency on their respective land and will coordinate as necessary with the SHPO. For activities proposed on private land, DOGM will be the lead agency and will coordinate as necessary with the SHPO. The term “Lead Agency” will be used in subsequent sections to identify the federal or state agency that takes the lead in executing the terms of this Agreement. For responsibilities that are unique to the federal or state agencies those agencies will be specified.
3. Agency official will ensure that all identification, evaluation, documentation and preservation efforts to satisfy the terms of this agreement, meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeological and Historic Preservation. Furthermore, all efforts will take into consideration the ACHPs *Section 106 Archaeology Guidance* (<http://www.achp.gov/archguide.html>) and Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Traditional Cultural Properties, National Register Bulletin 38.
4. BLM-Utah will ensure that all work undertaken to satisfy the terms of this agreement will be conducted or overseen by field office personnel who meet the qualifications established by the Office of Personnel Management for a GS-0193 professional series archaeologist, (i.e., a BLM archaeologist)
5. Identification of historic properties.

- a. *Literature Review.* Prior to any ground disturbing activity the BLM will conduct a literature review of the proposed activity as defined in the BLM 8110 Manual. The literature review will include a summary of known archaeological inventory and site information, General Land Office Plats and notes, aerial photography, historic records, ethnographic data and expectations for unknown cultural resources and site densities in the activity area.
- b. *Class III survey* A Class III survey will be conducted in all portions of the APE where Project activities will result in new ground disturbance. Portions of the APE where Project activities will result in new ground disturbance will not be subject to Class III re-inventory if the area has been inventoried at Class III standards within ten years prior to the execution of this Agreement. Class III inventory will be conducted where necessary inside the APE by archaeologists walking 15-meter wide, parallel transects. All prehistoric and historic period archaeological sites identified will be recorded on IMACS site forms to BLM standards.

6. Reporting

- a. Upon completion of Class III survey, a Class III Cultural Resources Survey Report will be prepared. This report will describe the cultural resources survey effort as a whole, describe the inventory methods used, provide the results, and make recommendations for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligibility of all historic localities discovered or revisited.
- b. Draft Class III Cultural Resources Survey Reports will be submitted to the BLM-Moab Field Office, USFS, SITLA and UDOGM. The agencies will have 30 calendar days to provide comments.
- c. The Lead Agency will provide Concurring Parties with an opportunity to review and comment on Class III Cultural Resources Survey Reports prior to agency submission to SHPO. These groups will have 30 calendar days to provide comments to the Lead Agency.
- d. Final Class III Cultural Resources Inventory Reports that have comments made by the Lead Agency will be resubmitted by the CR Consultant to the Lead Agency to support agency decisions regarding National Register of Historic Places eligibility determinations.

7. National Register of Historic Places Eligibility Determinations

- a. The BLM or USFS is responsible for all National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) eligibility determinations for cultural resources on lands under their respective jurisdictions.
- b. The BLM or USFS will evaluate the historic significance of sites within the APE pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4(c).
- c. The BLM or USFS will consult with SHPO regarding their NRHP eligibility determinations for sites within the APE pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4(c).

8. Determination of Effect

- a. The Lead Agency will make determination of effect consistent with 36 CFR 800.5
- b. All necessary efforts to avoid historic properties would be made during the planning phases of a particular undertaking. The CR Consultant, in consultation with the Lead Agency, will make recommendations to Energy Fuels on how to best avoid adverse effects to historic properties. These include, but are not limited to moving drilling site locations, rerouting access corridors, and moving construction activities prior to ground disturbance.
- c. Avoidance of historic properties means that a minimum 15 meter (49.21 foot) buffer around the site would be established and all surface disturbing activities are prohibited within that buffer.

This buffer would be determined by the Agency Official. If the Agency Official determines that a proposed activity may have adverse effects on historic properties, including any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. The Agency Official will also invite and seek tribal and consulting party input to develop and evaluate alternatives or modifications to the undertaking that could avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties in accordance with the standard Section 106 process found at 36CFR800.6 and in the National Programmatic Agreement between the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, BLM and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers. This will be accomplished through a Historic Properties Treatment Plan. Potential actions to resolve adverse effects may include historic research, interpretation, photo documentation, intensive recording, periodic monitoring, and archaeological excavation.

Historic Properties Treatment Plan: After the BLM-Moab Field Office has provided Concurring Parties with a draft HPTP or a draft summary of treatment recommendations, the BLM-Moab Field Office will hold a meeting with the parties to consult on the treatment recommendations. If any reviewing party fails to submit written comments to the agency official within 30-calendar days after the meeting, the BLM-Utah agency official will assume they have no comments regarding the measures identified in the HPTP. The BLM-Utah agency official will revise the HPTP, as needed, to address comments from this consultation process.

After consulting party consultation has been conducted; the HPTP will be submitted to the SHPO. The SHPO will have 30 calendar days from receipt to forward comments to the agency official. The BLM-Utah agency official will revise the HPTP, as needed, to address these comments. If the SHPO does not submit written comments within 30 calendar days of receipt of the HPTP, the agency official may assume the SHPO has no comments to the measures identified in the HPTP or objections to the adequacy of the plan.

9. Project Authorization

- a. The Lead Agency may allow Energy Fuels to begin operations in those portions of the APE that do not contain historic properties.
- b. The BLM-Moab Field Office may allow Energy Fuels to begin operations in those portions of the APE that do contain historic properties once the agreed-upon fieldwork and treatment as specified in the HPTP is completed and approved by the BLM-Moab Field Office. The agencies will have 7 days from receipt of adequate documentation that fieldwork and treatment is complete to comment. Complete results of the treatment effort will be submitted in a report to the agencies within 1 year of completion of field work. The agencies will have 90 days from receipt of this report to review and comment.

10. Post-Review Discoveries

- a. If cultural resources are discovered or affected after Energy Fuels has been authorized to proceed with an action, all earth-disturbing activities will cease within 30 meters (100 ft.) of the discovery, and heavy equipment will be removed from the area until the discovery is assessed and documented. If the Lead Agency or the CR Consultant determines that the discovery is an isolated artifact or feature, and

determines it is not eligible for NRHP listing, it will be documented and the activity will proceed with no further consultation.

b. Human Remains

b.1. *Discovery Notifications.* If human remains, potential human remains, associated or unassociated funerary objects, or objects of cultural patrimony are discovered, work within 0.5 mile will stop immediately. Verbal notification of the discovery will be made immediately to local law enforcement authorities, the appropriate land management agency official, and the Antiquities Section of the Utah Division of State History.

b.2. *Assessment of Remains.* Human remains discovered on federally managed land will be treated consistent with all requirements of Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) and its implementing regulations at 43 CFR 10. Human remains discovered on SITLA, State, or privately-owned land will be treated consistent with all requirements of applicable Utah State laws regarding the treatment of human remains including Utah Code Annotated (UCA) 76-9-704, UCA 9-8-302, UCA 9-8-309, and UCA 9-9-401 et seq.

b.3. *Resumption of Work.* Work in the immediate vicinity of the human remains may not resume until after compliance with applicable law is completed. Permission to proceed will come from the Lead Agency after appropriate consultation.

11. Protection of Confidential Information

- a. The Lead Agency shall ensure that all confidential information, as defined in Section 9 of the Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA), Section 304 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), and Section 63-2-304(26) of the Government Records Access Management Act (GRAMA), is managed in such a way that historic properties, archaeological resources, traditional cultural values, and sacred objects are not compromised, to the fullest extent available under law.
- b. All Parties to this Agreement shall safeguard information about the nature and location of archaeological, historic, and traditional cultural properties pursuant to Section 9 of the ARPA, Section 304 of the NHPA, and Section 63-2-304(26) of the GRAMA.

12. Dispute resolution

- a. Should any Signatory to this Agreement object, in writing, at any time to any actions proposed or the manner in which the terms of this Agreement are implemented, the BLM shall consult with the objecting party to resolve the concern within 45 days. If the BLM determines that the concern cannot be resolved, the BLM shall:
 - a.1. Forward all documentation relevant to the dispute, including the BLM's proposed resolution to the ACHP. The ACHP may provide the BLM's with its advice on the resolution of the concern within 30 days of receiving adequate documentation. Prior to reaching a final decision on the dispute, the BLM shall prepare a written response that takes into account any timely advice or comments regarding the dispute from the ACHP or Consulting Parties to this Agreement, and provide them with a copy of this written response. The BLM will then proceed according to its final decision.
 - a.2. The BLM's responsibility to carry out all other actions subject to the terms of this Agreement that are not subject of the dispute will remain unchanged.

13. Amendments

- a. Any Signatory to this Agreement may request that it be amended, whereupon the Signatories of this Agreement will consult to consider such amendment. An amendment will become effective upon written agreement by all Signatories of this Agreement. Notification of any amendments will be sent to the Consulting Parties.

14. Termination

- a. Any Signatory to this Agreement may terminate it by providing 30 calendar days' notice, in writing, to the other Signatories, provided that the Signatories will consult during the period prior to termination to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that will avoid termination. In the event of termination, the BLM and other Signatories will comply with regulation 36 CFR 800.1 et seq. with regard to individual actions covered by this Agreement. Notification of termination will be sent to the Consulting Parties.

15. Term

- a. This Agreement shall be effective when all Signatories have signed and will remain in effect for 10 years.
- b. The term of this Agreement may be extended another 10 years, not to exceed a total term of 20 years, through written agreement with all Signatories.

In witness whereof, the parties to this Agreement through their duly authorized representatives have executed this Agreement on the dates set out below, and certify that they have read, understood, and agreed to the terms and conditions of this Agreement as set forth herein.

The effective date of this Agreement for the PLAN OF OPERATIONS AMENDMENT TO THE LA SAL MINES COMPLEX IN SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH is the date of the last Signatory signature affixed to these pages.

Execution of this Agreement by the Signatories and implementation of its terms evidence that the BLM, USFS, SHPO, SITLA, and DOGM, have taken into account the effects of this undertaking on historic properties and afforded the ACHP an opportunity to comment.

SIGNATORIES

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, MOAB FIELD OFFICE

Beth Ransel
Field Manager

Date

UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE, MANTI-LA SAL NATIONAL FOREST

Mark Pentecost
Forest Supervisor

Date

UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

Brad Westwood
State Historic Preservation Officer

Date

INVITED SIGNATORIES

UTAH SCHOOL AND INSTITUTIONAL TRUST LANDS ADMINISTRATION

Name Dave Ure
Director

Date

UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS, AND MINING

John Baza
Director

Date

ENERGY FUELS RESOURCES (USA) INC.

Name	Date
Title	

CONCURRING PARTIES

SOUTHERN UTE INDIAN TRIBE

Clement Frost	Date
Governor	

THE HOPI TRIBE

Herman G. Honanie	Date
Chairman	

NAVAJO NATION

Russell Begaye	Date
President	

PAIUTE INDIAN TRIBE OF UTAH

Corinna Bow	Date
Chairperson	

ZUNI TRIBE

Val Panteah	Date
-------------	------

UTE INDIAN TRIBE OF THE UINTAH OURAY RESERVATION

Gordon Howell
Chairman

Date

UTE MOUNTAIN UTE TRIBE

Manuel Heart
Chairman

Date

WHITE MESA UTE TRIBE

Malcomb Lehi
Council Member

Date

PUEBLO OF JEMEZ

David R. Yepa
Governor

Date

SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH

Nick Sandberg
Commissioner

Date

UTAH PUBLIC LANDS POLICY COORDINATION OFFICE (PLPCO)

Kathleen Clarke
Director

Date

OLD SPANISH TRAIL ASSOCIATION

John Hiscock
Association Manager

Date

BLM OLD SPANISH NATIONAL HISTORIC TRAIL ADMINISTRATOR

Robert Sweeten
Administrator

Date


NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, NATIONAL TRAILS INTERMOUNTAIN REGION

Aaron Mahr
Superintendent

Date

APPENDIX A

PROJECT AREA MAP





Michael Bradley <mbradley@utah.gov>

Fwd: Programmatic Agreement La Sal Mine Complex

4 messages

*incoming
m/037/0026*

Montoya, Donald <dmontoya@blm.gov>
To: Mike Bradley <mbradley@utah.gov>
Cc: "Doolittle, Rebecca L" <rdoolitt@blm.gov>

Wed, Apr 6, 2016 at 9:31 AM

Mike,

I humbly apologize for not getting this to you when I initially sent it out. Attached is the Programmatic Agreement.

I would like to have responses by the 25th of April. The suggested changes will be reviewed and a consultation meeting will be assembled to review the final document.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Again, I apologize for not getting this to you.

*Don Montoya - Archaeologist
BLM Moab Field Office
82 East Dogwood Ave
Moab, Utah 84532
(435) 259-2149*

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Montoya, Donald** <dmontoya@blm.gov>

Date: Fri, Mar 25, 2016 at 5:01 PM

Subject: Programmatic Agreement La Sal Mine Complex

To: Andrea Reither <AReither@energyfuels.com>, "Doolittle, Rebecca L" <rdoolitt@blm.gov>, anaranjo@southernute-nsn.us, cnaranjo@southernute-nsn.us, kbeagley@fs.fed.us, Chris Merritt <cmerritt@utah.gov>, Terry Morgart <TMorgart@hopi.nsn.us>, David Yoder <DavidYoder@utah.gov>, "Sandberg, Nick" <nsandberg@sanjuancounty.org>, John Hiscock <ostamgr@gmail.com>, Kristine Curry <KRISTINECURRY@utah.gov>, Joshua Relph <jrelph@blm.gov>, Pamela Jarnecke <pjarnecke@blm.gov>, Ikuwanwisiwma@hopi.nsn.us, ctoya@jemezpuablo.org, betsys@utetribe.com, Lynn Hartman <lhartman@utemountain.org>, kdongoske@cableone.net, dorena.martineau@ihs.gov, ora.marek@nau.edu, Charmaine Thompson <cthompson01@fs.fed.us>, "Lloyd, Thomas W" <twlloyd@fs.fed.us>, Nathan Thomas <nthomas@blm.gov>, "Truman, Dana - FS" <dtruman@fs.fed.us>

Signatories and concurring parties,

At this time, in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and its implementing regulations, 36 CFR Part 800, the Bureau of Land Management Moab Field Office (BLM) wishes to initiate its consultation process for the Energy Fuels Resources (USA) Inc. (EFR) proposed Plan of Operations Amendment for the La Sal Mines Complex, San Juan County, Utah, on lands administered by the BLM, the Forest Service – Manti-La Sal National Forest (USFS), the Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA), and privately owned property.

An EA has been drafted and provided to the public for its review and comment and is available at the following website: http://data.ecosystem-management.org/nepaweb/nepa_project_exp.php?project=34565

The BLM is preparing a Programmatic Agreement (PA) that addresses conducting Class III inventories until specific areas of exploration drilling and development are to be proposed (See attached). The PA describes a phased approach (36 CFR Part 800.4 (b) (2)) to implement Section 106 and how Class III cultural clearances would be conducted when proposed individual drilling projects and development plans are initiated.

The BLM requests your participation in the development of this Agreement and further requests your participation as a Signatory or Concurring Party to this Agreement.

If you would like to speak directly with someone for further information or want to request a meeting, please contact Don Montoya at (435) 259-2149.

*Don Montoya - Archaeologist
BLM Moab Field Office
82 East Dogwood Ave
Moab, Utah 84532
(435) 259-2149*



Clean Final Draft.docx
956K

Michael Bradley <mbradley@utah.gov>

Thu, Apr 7, 2016 at 11:15 AM

To: Paul Baker <paulbaker@utah.gov>, Dana Dean <danadean@utah.gov>, Jessica Montcalm <jmontcalm@utah.gov>

To all,

I requested to be included on the list when I first heard about this last year, but was not. Dana, who should be the prime reviewer on this? Since it's all about cultural resources, I was thinking Jessica would be the best lead, but I would be happy to assist with it.

Thanks,

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Montoya, Donald** <dmontoya@blm.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]

—
Mike Bradley
Environmental Scientist III / Reclamation Specialist
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

M-F 7:30-4:30
801-538-5332

This e-mail message and all attachments transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If the reader of the message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of the message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify me immediately by replying to the message and please delete it from your computer.



Clean Final Draft.docx

956K

Dana Dean <danadean@utah.gov>

Thu, Apr 7, 2016 at 11:16 AM

To: Mike Bradley <mbradley@utah.gov>

Cc: Jessica Montcalm <jmontcalm@utah.gov>, Paul Baker <paulbaker@utah.gov>

If Jessica has time she should be involved, but you should also review.

Dana Dean, P.E.
Associate Director - Mining
Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining
danadean@Utah.gov
801.538.5320

Like us on face book! <https://www.facebook.com/utahoilgasandmining>

[Quoted text hidden]

Jessica Montcalm <jmontcalm@utah.gov>

Thu, Apr 7, 2016 at 11:25 AM

To: Dana Dean <danadean@utah.gov>

Cc: Mike Bradley <mbradley@utah.gov>, Paul Baker <paulbaker@utah.gov>

I can make some time. JfM

Jessica F. Montcalm, MS/RPA
Abandoned Mine Reclamation Program
Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining
(801) 538-5318, (801) 359-3940 (Fax)

Web site: <http://ogm.utah.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]